

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FI | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|-----------------------|---------|------------|-----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 10/074,273 02/12/2002 | | 02/12/2002 | Anthony J. Baerlocher | 0112300-974 6139 | |
| 29159 | 7590 | 05/17/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| BELL, BOY | D & LL | OYD LLC | SAGER, MARK ALAN | | |
| P. O. BOX 1 | 135 | | | | |
| CHICAGO, | IL 6069 | 0-1135 | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 3714 | | |

DATE MAILED: 05/17/2004

6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| ľ | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | _ | 10/074,273 | BAERLOCHER, ANTHONY J. | | | | |
| V | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | • | M. A. Sager | 3714 | | | | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication app | · |] = ' ' ' | | | | |
| Period for Reply | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1)🖂 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Ju | une 2002 and 12 February 2002. | <u> </u> | | | | |
| 2a) <u></u> | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This | action is non-final. | : | | | | |
| 3)□ | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is | | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under E | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45 | 53 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Dispositi | ion of Claims | | | | | | |
| 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ | Claim(s) 1- 20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | wn from consideration. | | | | | |
| Applicati | ion Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | |
| 11)[| The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | taminer. Note the attached Office | ACTION OF TOTAL PTO-152. | | | | |
| Priority (| ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| a)l | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list | s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | ion No ed in this National Stage | | | | |
| Attachmen | t(s) | | | | | | |
| | e of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | | | | | |
| 3) 🚺 Infor | te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date 2444 | Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other: | ate Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/074,273

Art Unit: 3714

Priority

An application in which the benefits of an earlier application are desired must contain a 1. specific reference to the prior application(s) in the first sentence of the specification of in an application data sheet (37 CFR 1.78(a)(2) and (a)(5)). The specific reference to any prior nonprovisional application must include the relationship (i.e., continuation, divisional, or continuation-in-part) between the applications except when the reference is to a prior application of a CPA assigned the same application number.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement filed June 17, 2002 fails to comply with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97, 1.98 and MPEP § 609 because it lacks page numeration and some references are illegible as follows. The US and foreign patent documents were all considered. However, regarding the other documents, only those references that complied with provisions of 37 CFR 1.97, 1.98 such as being legible and listing pages were considered. Specifically, the reference to Big Bang Piggy Bankin, Caribbean Gold II, Cashbox, Empire Game, Price Is Right Showcases, Psycho Cash Beast, The Deals of Let's Make A Deal written by fortunecity, Treasure Wheel/Treasure Tunnel and X-Factor were illegible at least of data on first page such as screenshot of machine. The Price Is Right Showcases had chopped or missing print. The Deals of Let's Make A Deal was entirely unreadable. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered as to the merits. Applicant is advised that the date of any re-submission of any item of information contained in this information disclosure statement or the submission of any missing element(s) will be the date of submission for purposes of determining compliance with the requirements based on the time of filing the

Application/Control Number: 10/074,273 Page 3

Art Unit: 3714

statement, including all certification requirements for statements under 37 CFR 1.97(e). See MPEP § 609 ¶ C(1).

3. The information disclosure statement filed June 17, 2002 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each U.S. and foreign patent; each publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered. Specifically, reference to Jackpot Party advertisement reference was missing. I

Double Patenting

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claim 1-20 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1-27 of U.S. Patent No. 6375187. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because it would have been obvious to claim the method or device/apparatus broader in order to obtain the most commercially viable form of invention or in order to obtain the broadest protection for invention for securing commercial viability. Essentially, the omitted language pertaining to means for determining a number of award offers limited the invention and thus by omitting the

Page 4

Art Unit: 3714

language, a broader form of invention is claimed which secures broader protection for commercial viability.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. It is noted most relevant art that appears to have the offer/reject scheme include at least Applicants' admitted prior art cited in background, cumulative references to 'The Addams Family' game for Market Madness bonus feature, 'Fire & Fortune' and 'Top Dollar' game. However, it is unclear whether any of the prior art of record possesses eliminates/nullifies each award offer made to player that is subsequently rejected by the player or communicates a nullified or eliminated offer. Thus, at this time, the eliminates/nullifies feature/step appears to be allowable subject matter.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. A. Sager whose telephone number is 703-308-0785. The examiner can normally be reached on T-F, 0700-1700 hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Hughes can be reached on 703-308-1806. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/074,273

Art Unit: 3714

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-21/1-1977 (toll-free).

M/A. Sager Primary Examiner Art Unit 3714

MAS